Class Wiki Project

What is a wiki?

A wiki is a website that can be edited by individuals or groups, such as Wikipedia.

Where is it located?

The wiki we will be using is located on the Moodle page for this class, and will only be available or visible to those in the module.

What is the class wiki project?

Together the class will be contributing to the development of a wiki that looks at the use and reception of the psalms and prophets.

What should contributions include?

You are asked to contribute an example of the use and impact of the psalms or prophets; there really are no limits as to what you can use for your contribution, and I would encourage you to try and align this with your interests. Your contribution can be text-based (e.g. taking a particular psalm or prophet as your starting point) or theme-based (beginning with a topic or theme and finding uses within this). And you are welcome to explore whatever mode or format of reception that is of interest to you (art, literature, music, politics, etc.) in either historical or contemporary perspective. Your contribution should include a brief description of how the biblical text is used in this instance, some explanation as to why it is important or worth calling attention to for this class, links to commentary or reflection on this particular use of the Bible, as well as hyperlinks to the reception online if applicable (texts, images, videos, audio). An example contribution has been posted on the wiki home page to give you an idea as to what an entry might look like.

How do I go about adding my contribution?

To get started, go to the class Moodle page, and find the class wiki—click on this and it will bring you to the wiki home page. To add a new entry, click on the appropriate page where you entry will be located on the wiki (psalms or prophets). When in the correct section, click on 'edit', and this will allow you to edit the main body of this section. Add a new bullet point and give your name as well as the title for your contribution. Following this, you should state what the media is, and what biblical text is being referred to in the example(s) you are providing. You should then add your comments that describes how (and, if possible, why) you think the text is being used in the way that it is. If you can link to further resources, that would also be welcome. Feel free to use the formatting options available in the wiki when formatting your contribution. You can go back and edit your contribution as often as you like until the final due date.

You may choose to write and edit your contribution elsewhere (e.g. in Microsoft Word) and then copy and paste it to the wiki when finished. This is fine—just make sure any links you have provided are working properly.

Please see the marking rubric on the following page for indications as to how the wiki contributions will be marked.

Grading guide/rubric for wiki contributions

This rubric should be read in conjunction with the Undergraduate Marking Guide, which is the primary guide to the grading of all written assignments by undergraduates.

The guide below is intended to give you an idea as to how that Marking Guide might be applied in the context of the wiki project.

A	A contribution of this standard will offer excellent (and perhaps original) insight. It will provide a unique example, quality commentary, useful links, and will be well written. It will combine evidence of secondary reading and research, engagement with material from class and elsewhere, and engagement with the primary material. Such a contribution will display a high level of sophistication.
В	A contribution of this standard will be confident in its engagement with the assignment. It will provide a good example, some commentary, several links that work, and will be well written. It will offer evidence of some further reading and research. Such a contribution will be well written and engaged with the subject matter.
С	This is the average expected level of contribution. It will provide an example, with limited commentary, and perhaps one hyperlink. It will offer little evidence of further reading and research. Such a contribution should be well written though there may be minor errors.
D	This is a below average level of contribution. It will provide an example, with no or very limited commentary, and limited online links. It will offer little evidence of further reading and research. Such a contribution will have minimal engagement with the assignment, and may contain numerous stylistic errors.
F (30-39)	A contribution which does engage with the assignment and the relevant material but does so in a very limited or careless manner. Demonstrates limited understanding. Links are missing or do not work. Commentary is limited or irrelevant.
F (20-29)	A contribution that attempts to address the assignment but is poorly stated, erroneous or displays limited knowledge.
F (1-19)	A contribution that is too brief or irrelevant.
F (0)	A failure to contribute or a plagiarised post.